# Preoperative functional optimization for better surgical outcome

Francesco Carli McGill University Montreal, Canada franco.carli@mcgill.ca







## F Carli is recipient of a grant of the Rossy Cancer Network (Canada)





# Learning Objectives

- Address the pathophysiological factors which can influence outcome following surgery and cancer
- Introduce the concept of surgical *prehabilitation*
- Review the literature of surgical prehabilitation and preliminary results

# What if surgery could be done without:

- Stress response
- Pain
- Organ dysfunction
- Complications
- Fatigue

...then recovery will be fast, and then length of stay and costs will decrease too

## postoperative recovery, 1980



- Loss of body weight, less muscle mass
- Deconditioning
- Increased heart rate with work
- Decrease in muscle strenght





## fast-track- enhanced recovery 1990



# High rate of postoperative morbidity after elective abdominal surgery

#### NSQIP database (2005-2006)

 Table 1. Relative Contribution of 36 Procedures to Adverse Events and Excess Length of Stay in General Surgery, American

 College of Surgeons – National Surgery Quality Improvement Program, 2005–2006

	Proced	lures		Proportion of	Average excess length of stay	Proportion of all excess
Procedure	n	% of total	Adverse event rate, %	all adverse events, %	for adverse event, d	length of stay, %
1. Colectomy ± colostomy	12,767	9.9	28.9	24.3	9.8	23.5
2. Small intestine resection	3,576	2.8	32.9	7.7	13.9	10.6
3. Cholecystectomy/inpatient	11,718	9.1	7.5	5.7	8.7	4.9
4. Ventral hernia repair	7,477	5.8	10.1	4.9	6.3	3.1
5. Pancreatectomy	1,927	1.5	34.9	4.4	6.8	3.0
6. Appendectomy	9,016	7.0	7.2	4.3	4.4	1.9
7. Bariatric procedures	6,167	4.8	8.3	3.4	3.7	1.2
8. Proctectomy $\pm$ colectomy $\pm$ anastomosis	1,402	1.1	31.5	2.9	6.2	1.8
9. Lysis of adhesions	1,323	1.0	23.1	2.0	10.5	2.1
10. Liver resection	1,045	0.8	27.0	1.9	8.8	1.6
11. Mastectomy/simple, radical, or subcutaneous	4,313	3.3	5.6	1.6	0.9	0.1

#### Schilling et al. JACS 2008

#### Still high rate of postoperative morbidity after elective abdominal surgery...... ......5 years later

- 76,076 resections for esophageal, gastric, pancreatic, hepatobiliary, and colorectal cancers at 316 hospitals from the **2006 to 2011** ACS NSQIP
- 3% esophagectomy, 5% gastrectomy, 16% pancreatectomy, 4% hepatectomy, 63% colectomy, and 9% proctectomy
- 21-45% of patients experienced a postoperative complication and 1.1-4.4% died. The incidence of patients with any complication 24%

# Despite intraoperative interventions & advances in anesthesia and surgical care

Complications are still between 25 and 55%

# Postoperative complications are a burden and impact on long term outcomes

Khuri et al. Ann Surg 2005;242: 326-343



# **Redesigning Surgical Decision Making for High-Risk Patients**

Laurent G. Glance, M.D., Turner M. Osler, M.D., and Mark D. Neuman, M.D.

N ENGL J MED 370;15 NEJM.ORG APRIL 10, 2014

Surgical risk stratification Surgical risk attenuation

## ACS risk calculator 15 variables predicting higher risk

Model generated from N=28,863 colorectal procedures at 182 hospitals

#### **Not Modifiable**

- ASA III/IV
- Sepsis
- Indication for surgery
- Disseminated cancer
- Extent of surgery
- Emergent
- Age >65
- Creatinine
- COPD
- Wound class
- PTT >35

#### **Potentially Modifiable**

- Functional health status
- BMI
- Dyspnea
- Albumin ≤35

#### Cohen et al., Bilimoria, Ko, Hall. JACS 2009

#### Optimizing Surgical Care of Colon Cancer in the Older Adult Population

Gregory D. Kennedy, MD, PhD\*, Victoria Rajamanickam, MS<sup>†</sup>, Erin S. O'Connor, MD\*, Noelle K. Loconte, MD<sup>‡</sup>, Eugene F. Foley, MD\*, Glen Leverson, PhD<sup>†</sup>, and Charles P. Heise, MD\*

(Ann Surg 2011;253:508-514

- Factors that predict complications:
- Age >75 y
- BMI >25
- COPD
- ETOH
- Duration of surgery

the United States continues to age. Surgeons will have to operatively approach an older group of patients with multiple preoperative comorbidities. It is clear from these data that preoperative health and functional status as well as operative approach contribute to shortterm outcomes.

# **Preoperative Risk Assessment**

Test	Predicting	Scoring	Evidence level	Recommendation
P possum	Mortality and Morbidity	12 physiological and 6	High	Strong
		operative variables		
Lees index	Perioperative Cardiac	6 preoperative clinical	Moderate	Strong
	complication	factors		
Shuttle Walk Test	Perioperative	Aerobic fitness	Moderate	Moderate
	complications			
Shuttle Walk Test	Screening tool to	Aerobic fitness	Moderate	Strong
	proceed to CPET /			
	echocardiography etc			
Cardiopulmonary	Perioperative	Aerobic exercise – AT	Moderate	Strong
Exercise testing	complications	and VO <sub>2</sub> max		
(CPET)				
Cardiopulmonary	Selecting patient's	Aerobic exercise – AT	Moderate	Moderate
Exercise testing	suitability for surgery	and VO <sub>2</sub> max		
(CPET)				

With permission of Scott MJ. 2015

#### <u>Risk factors for prolonged recovery of Indipendent</u> <u>Activities of Day Living (IADL) after major abdominal</u> <u>surgery in elderly people</u>

	Odds ratio	95% CI	p value
Serious complication	0.61	0.39-0.96	0.03
Physical performance status*	1.20	1.02-1.41	0.02
<b>Geriatric Depression Scale</b>	0.95	0.92-0.98	0.003
Folstein Mini-Mental State	1.04	0.98-1.11	0.22
Creatinine>133 umol/L	0.83	0.47-1.47	0.52
Albumin <30 g/L	0.63	0.15-2.66	0.53
CHF on CXR	0.94	0.46-1.92	0.87
Male	1.25	0.8-1.87	0.29
Age,y	1.0	0.97-1.02	0.80

\*score combining Timed Up and Go, Functional Reach, and Hand Grip Strength using Components Analysis

Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Lawrence et al, JACS, 2009

# Poor physical fitness/reserve is associated with

• all-cause mortality

Wilson et al, BJA 2010

postoperative complications

TN Robinson et all, Am J Surg 2013

- length of hospital stay and discharge destination
   JJ Dronkers et all, Anaesthesia 2013
- hospital and healthcare costs

## Preoperative functional status and postoperative outcome Surg Endosc 2015



#### **Preoperative nutritional state**

elective abdominal surgery, n=1085 Nutritional Risk Screening > 4



Bin J. et al Nutrition 28 (2012) 1022–1027



## Current practice is to predict postoperative complications and to adjust postoperative resources (e.g. if AT < 9.8, postop ICU)

and wait until <u>after</u> surgery to intervene to help patients to recover

# Rehabilitation

# Is the postoperative period the right time to intervene?

#### Patients are tired, depressed, weak

What about modify the preoperative risk assessment ?

Can we improve patient's fitness before surgery, while waiting ?

# **Pre**habilitation



# **Trajectory of Surgical Care**

**Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Program** 



#### Increase physiological reserve to overcome the stress of surgery and accelerate the recovery process



**Functional** ability

# The effects of preoperative exercise therapy on postoperative outcome: a systematic review

	Experime	ntal	Contr	ol		Risk ratio		Ris	k ratio		
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	1	M-H, Fix	ed, 95%	6 CI	
Dronkers et al. 2006	3	10	8	10	23.4%	0.38 [0.14, 1.02]			-		
Hulzebos et al. 2006pilot	1	14	1	12	3.1%	0.86 [0.06, 12.28]		12		18	
Hulzebos et al. 2006RCT	9	139	22	137	64.7%	0.40 [0.19, 0.84]			<del></del>		
Weiner et al. 1998	1	42	3	42	8.8%	0.33 [0.04, 3.08]		-			
Total (95% CI)		205		201	100.0%	0.40 [0.23, 0.72]		-			
Total events	14		34								
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.36, d	f=3 (P=0.9	5); l <sup>2</sup> =0%	6						<u> </u>		
Test for overall effect: Z=3.	11 (P=0.002	2)				F	0.01 avours	0.1 experimental	Favo	10 urs contro	100

Figure 2 Effect of preoperative inspiratory muscle training on postoperative pulmonary complications after cardiac or abdominal surgery.

	Expe	riment	al	C	ontrol			Mean difference	Mean difference
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Beaupre et al. 2004	6.7	2.2	65	7.3	2.5	66	32.0%	-0.60 [-1.41, 0.21	] — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
D'Lima et al. 1996-11	6.29	1	10	6.08	1	5	18.0%	0.21 [-0.86, 1.28	
D'Lima et al. 1996-12	6.1	1.99	10	6.08	1	5	9.1%	0.02 [-1.49, 1.53	]
Wijgman <i>et al</i> . 1994	15.7	3.4	31	14.8	2.1	33	10.7%	0.90 [-0.49, 2.29	]
Williamson et al. 2007	6.49	1.99	60	6.6	2.62	61	30.3%	-0. <mark>11 [-0.94</mark> , 0.72	]
Total (95% CI)			176			170	100.0%	-0.09 [-0.55, 0.37	1 🔶
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =3.8	30, df=4	(P=0.4	3); $I^2 = ($	0%					
Test for overall effect: Z	=0.38 (P	=0.70	)						Favours experimental Favours control

Figure 4 Effect of preoperative exercise therapy on length of hospital stay after joint replacement surgery.

## Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis of Systemic Prehabilitation

#### Inclusion criteria:

Total body MSK <u>+</u> aerobic exercise & postop outcomes

#### **Results:**

- 1996-2011
- K=21 (17 RCTs); median sample n=54
  - 13 orthopaedic, 1 abdominal, 3 cardiac
- Moderate-poor methodological quality
- Majority found improved postop:
  - Pain, LOS, physical function
- Equivocal benefits to:
  - Aerobic fitness, complications & QOL
- Adverse event in 2/669 prehab patients





Prehabilitation to enhance postoperative recovery for an octogenarian following robotic-assisted hysterectomy with endometrial cancer Carli F, Brown R, Kennephol S. CJA 2012; 59: 779-84



88 y
CAD, Stent x2, CABG x3, AS, HTN, periods of CHF, postoperative delirium x2, UTI, Mild MCI
30 lbs in 1 year
MA Theology at the age of 60 years!

Sedentary, Depressed, Frustrated and Malnourished

	SF	36			
Time of assessment	Physical Mental Component Component		6 Minute Walk Test	RBANS* Total Score	
Initial Assessment	33.7 (-0.7)	47.2 (-0.8)	91.2m	58 (<1)	
4 Weeks before Surgery	39.6 (-0.1)	45.4 (-1.0)	136.8m	75 (5)	
8 Weeks after Surgery	65.3 (1.2)	65.3 (1.2)	144.8m	81 (10)	

\* Repeatable Battery or the Assessment Neuropsychological Status

### 4 Major Scientific Studies on Surgical Multimodal Prehabilitation : Proof of Concept

Intense exercise vs.	Pilot prehabilitation vs.	Prehabilitation vs.	Nutrition Prehab vs.
walking & breathing	standard of care	rehabilitation	Placebo
2010	2012	2014	2014
Preop: ~1/3 of patients deteriorated & program compliance was 16% Postop: change in preop function predicted trajectory of recovery!	Preop: prehab increased 6MWT by 42 ± 41 m. Postop: greater proportion (81% vs 40%, p<0.01) of prehab patients had recovered by 8 weeks.	Preop: prehab improved 6MWT by 25.2 ± 50.2 m, while rehab declined by 16.4 ± 46.0 m. Postop: greater proportion (84% vs 62%, p=0.011) of prehab patients recovered by 8 weeks.	Preop: Nutrition prehab improved 20.8 <u>+</u> 42.6 m, while placebo improved by 1.2 (65.5). Postop: Four weeks after surgery, recovery rates were similar between groups.

Carli, F et al. BJS.2010; Li et al. Surg Endosc. 2012; Gillis C et al. Anesthesiology.2014

10.00

## Multimodal Prehabilitation : The McGill Experience



## Multimodal Prehabilitation to Increase Functional Reserve

 •Up to 1/3 of patients are at nutrition risk
 Whey Protein Supplementation
 Aerobic and resistance exercise

•20% of patients may have mood changes like anxiety / depression while waiting for surgery

Anxiety Reduction Strategies

# Increase in muscle protein synthesis following exercise with whey proteins (Anabolic Window)



Burke LM. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2012;44(10):1968-77

# Outcome measure of recovery: functional walking capacity

#### **Six-Minute Walk Test**

- Objective, Reproducible
- Essential to everyday activities
- <u>Integrates balance, force,</u> <u>speed, endurance</u>
- Cheap, no equipment needed
- Validated measure of surgical <u>recovery (Moriello, 2008, Pecorelli 2015)</u>

<u>Minimal important</u> <u>difference = 20 meters</u> the smallest change in an outcome measure perceived as beneficial by patients undergoing colorectal surgery



Predicted 6MWT =  $868 - (age \times 2.9) - (female \times 74.7)$ 

Patients with multimodal prehabilitation are stronger before and after surgery for colorectal cancer



#### **Previous Trial Comparisons: Preoperative Period**

#### Difference in 6MWT assessments between baseline and immediately pre-surgery



# Randomized clinical trial of prehabilitation before planned liver resection

Br J Surg 2016

		Prehabilitation				Stan	Study arm comparison			
	Baseline*	Post*	Changet	P‡	Baseline*	Post*	Change†	P‡	Exercise <i>versus</i> standard†	P§
Vo <sub>2</sub> at AT (ml per kg per min)	10.0(0.9)	11.9(2.2)	1.9 (0.1, 3.6)	0.037	9.8(1.1)	9.4(1.1)	-0.4 (-1.4, 0.6)	0.379	2.3 (0.3, 4.2)	0.029
Vo2 at peak (ml per kg per min)	16.1(2.2)	18.9(4.7)	2.8 (-0.4, 5.9)	0.075	15.7(2.2)	16.0(3.5)	0.3 (-2.0, 2.6)	0.760	2.5 (-1.3, 6.2)	0.157
Oxygen pulse at AT (ml/beat)	8.1(1.9)	9.3(2.2)	1.2 (0.1, 2.3)	0.035	7.3(1.7)	7.3(1.7)	0.0 (-0.5, 0.6)	0.907	1.2 (-0.1, 2.4)	0.062
Oxygen pulse at peak (ml/beat)	9.9(1.9)	11.3(2.2)	1.3 (-0.1, 2.9)	0.068	8-9(2-1)	9.5(2.0)	0.5 (-0.2, 1.3)	0.132	0.8 (-0.9, 2.6)	0.308
Peak work rate (W)	117(20)	130(34)	13 (0, 27)	0.052	118(27)	117(28)	-1 (-9,7)	0.738	14 (-1, 30)	0.066
Heart rate reserve (beats/min)	54(18)	58(23)	4 (-4, 13)	0.278	59(21)	55(22)	-3 (-7, 1)	0.113	7 (-2, 17)	0.074
SF-36 <sup>®</sup> scores	entret.		101 0000		s centrad la	contest.	547 - 77 M			
Overall physical health	53(27)	66(27)	13 (2, 24)	0.027	53(21)	56(15)	1 (-8, 14)	0.536	10 (-5, 24)	0.151
Overall mental health	63(25)	75(24)	12 (1, 23)	0.038	61(20)	61(25)	0 (-21, 22)	0.963	11 (-9, 31)	0.247
Overall QoL	59(25)	73(23)	14 (1, 27)	0.039	59(21)	59(21)	0 (-14, 15)	0.945	13 (-5, 30)	0.140

Values are \*mean(s.d.) and †mean (95 per cent c.i.). Vo2, oxygen uptake; AT, anaerobic threshold; QoL, quality of life. ‡Paired t test; §independent t test.

Do patients with poor functional capacity benefit the most from prehabilitation ?

# < 400 m walk distance: indicator of poor functional capacity</pre>



400-meter walk test

 → related to frailty and major
 mobility disability in older
 adults

3) < 406 m</li>
 → cardio-resp complications after CR surg

Pahor M et al, JAMA 2014 Sinclair RCF et al, BJA 2012 Lee L, Msc Thesis, 2012

#### **Baseline Patients Characteristics**

	> 400 m (n=70)	< 400 m (n=36)
6MWD <i>, m</i>	485 (61)*	308 (76)
Age, years	<b>65 (10)</b> *	75 (13)
Male gender	46 (66%)	17 (47%)
BMI <i>, kg/m</i> ²	27.6 (4.6)	27.2 (4.3)
Lean body mass <i>, kg</i>	54,5 (10,8)	52,5 (10,7)
ASA class		
1-11	56 (80%)	23 (64%)
III-IV	<b>14 (20%)</b> *	13 (36%)
Colon surgery	30 (43%)	22 (61%)
Laparoscopic surgery	65 (93%)	33 (92%)

#### In the preoperative period,

less fit patients had a greater improvement in walking capacity



Minnella E Surgery, 2016

#### At 4 weeks after surgery,

less fit patients had a greater improvement in walking capacity



#### At 8 weeks after surgery,

low fit patients had a greater improvement in walking capacity



Minnella E Surgery, 2016

# Prehab enhances postoperative functional capacity in patients with low reserve



# What is the impact of prehabilitation on clinical outcome?

#### Preoperative Supervised Exercise Improves Outcomes After Elective Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair

A Randomized Controlled Trial

Complications	Total	Exercise Group	Control Group	P
Cardiac*	19 (15.3%)	5 (8.1%)	14 (22.6%)	0.025†
	5: myocardial infarction (2 fatal)	EVAR: 1 (4.3%)	EVAR: 3 (13.0%)	0.608
	5: prolonged inotropic support	OAR: 4 (10.3%)	OAR: 11 (28.2%)	0.044
	5: new-onset arrhythmia (without evidence of myocardial damage or ischemia)			
	3: new-onset arrhythmia with elevated troponin T levels			
	1 – Unstable angina with Troponin level of 0.05			
Pulmonary*	20 (16.1%)	7 (11.3%)	13 (21.0%)	0.143†
en dan panenen <b>e</b>	14: postoperative pneumonia	EVAR: 0 (0.0%)	EVAR: 4 (17.4%)	0.109
	3: severe postoperative pneumonia resulting in reintubation or respiratory support	OAR: 7 (17.9%)	OAR: 9 (23.1%)	0.575
	1: postoperative pneumonia and an exacerbation of COPD	C	1	
	1: unplanned reintubation			
	1: reintubation and aspiration pneumonia (fatal)			
Renal*	17 (13.7%)	4 (6.5%)	13 (21.0%)	0.019†
	15: more than 20% decrease in creatinine clearance	EVAR: 1 (4.3%)	EVAR: 1 (4.3%)	1.000
	2: renal insufficiency postoperatively requiring hemodialysis/hemofiltration	OAR: 3 (7.7%)	OAR: 12 (30.8%)	0.033
Endpoints (composite outcome measure)	40 (32.3%)	14 (22.6%)	26 (41.9%)	0.021
*n (%).				3

†Chi-square test.

EVAR indicates endovascular aneurysm repair; OAR, open aneurysm repair.

# The ability of prehabilitation to influence postoperative outcome. Systematic review and meta analysis



Prehabilitation vs usual care: morbidity

Surgery, 2016

### Impact of Pre-operative Change in Physical Function on Surgical Recovery after Colorectal Surgery, n=156

Mayo N, Feldman L, Carli F, Surgery, 2011



#### IMPROVING PREOPERATIVE FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY DESCREASES COMPLICATION AND ED VISTIS

	6MWD chan	р	
	NO n = 99	YES n = 80	
<b>30-day COMPLICATION (CCI)</b> , median [IQR]	8.7 [0-22.6]	0 [0-8.7]	0.022
Participants with at least 1 complication within 30 days, n (%)	50 (50)	30 (38)	0.097
Length of primary hospital stay (days), median [IQR]	4 [3-6]	3 [3-5]	0.236
<b>30-day ED visit</b> , n (%)	25 (25)	10 (13)	0.038
<b>30-day hospital readmissions</b> , n (%)	14 (14)	5 (6)	0.142

Minnella E , unpublished

# Prehabilitation in colorectal cancer and postoperative clinical outcome

International multicenter study, 2016 (Registered Clinical Trials, NTR 5947)

The Netherlands Canada Danemark France

# Take Home Message

- Prehabilitation requires a multidisciplinary approach
- Customize the program to each patient/surgery
- Proof of concept: increases functional capacity
- Can improve postoperative outcome (more data needed)
- Can impact on continuum of cancer care (more data needed)
- Challenges: Compliance? Recording adherence Costs? Caregiver, Societal, Resources?

### Conference

## Prehabilitation for the Surgical Patient

J<mark>une 15</mark>-17 2017

Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Contact for more information: victoria.greco@mail.mcgill.ca



PERI OPERATIVE PROGRAMME PÉRI-OPÉRATOIRE

#### Physical activity increases in the prehab group during the 4 weeks before surgery (CHAMPS,) Chen B et al, 2016 50 50 40 40 kcal/kg/wek 05 kcal/kg/week 00 00 Baseline Time of Operation

20 10 10 Light Moderate Vigorous Total Prehab group Prehab group Prehab group Prehab group

# BIA within group comparisons Prehabilitation vs. No intervention within an ERAS setting



Gillis C, unpublished

#### **Study Design**



\*Li C et al, Surg Endosc, 2013 Gillis C et al, Anesthesiology 2014, Carli F et al (unpublished)

# Type of postoperative complications

mplications	6MWD change ≥ 20 m	
	NO n = 99	YES n = 80
Medical Complication, n (%)	24 (24%)	15 (19%)
Cardiovascular	6 (6%)	1 (1%)
Respiratory	5 (5%)	2 (3%)
Infectious	5 (5%)	6 (8%)
Other medical	16 (16%)	9 (11%)
Surgical complication, n(%)	24 (24%)	14 (18%)
Anastomotic leak	3 (3%)	0 (0%)
Perforation	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
lleus	20 (20%)	11 (14%)
Wound dehiscence	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Bleeding	3 (3%)	2 (3%)
other	1 (1%)	1 (1%)

#### IMPROVING PREOPERATIVE FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY DESCREASES SEVERITY COMPLICATION



Multivariate logistic regression analysis testing adjusted for age, gender, BMI, ASA, Charlson Comorbidities Index, cancer stage, surgical approach and surgical site

# Greater proportion of prehabilitation patients improved



PREHABILITATION

# Undernutrition Before Surgery: Our Experience.

Gillis C et al. Nut Clin Pract 2015

The incidence of undernutrition in *all* patients attending preoperative clinic at Montreal General Hospital for *elective colorectal surgery* 



Global Assessment (n=70) score A refers to adequately nourished; B moderate or suspected undernutrition; C severely undernourished

Future directions for exercise-oncology research on cancer progression.

Epidemiological studies

- A greater number of large-scale studies assessing both self-reported and/or objective measures of exercise exposure with long-term follow-up and adequate event rates.
- Delineate the association no how changes in exercise behavior, functional capacity/cardiorespiratory fitness measures are associated with clinical outcome across all solid tumors.
- More studies determining the differential association between exercise and prognosis as a function of tumor phenotype/gene expression.
- More studies determining the differential association between exercise and prognosis as a function of host-related circulating factors postulated to mediate the exercise-prognosis relationship.

Clinical biomarker intervention studies

- Delineate the differential effects of differences in exercise prescription dose (e.g., frequency, intensity, duration, modality) on changes in salient biomarkers in randomized trials.
- Determine effects of exercise across different tumor types across the cancer continuum (i.e., from diagnosis to palliation) to expand current efforts as well
  as extend to other solid tumors where exercise has not been rigorously evaluated.
- Elucidate the most salient biomarkers of interest that mediate the exercise-cancer prognosis relationship to develop a standardized 'exercise-oncology' biomarker panel that is reproducible and can be evaluated/compared across studies.
- Determine the effects of exercise on circulating biomarkers in conjunction with procurement of tumor tissue and/or imaging biomarkers whenever possible.

Preclinical studies

- Orthotopic implantation of syngeneic tumor cell lines or induction of orthotopic tumors via transgenic or chemical methods in immune competent animals to enable investigation of effects on primary tumor growth and metastasis.
- Elucidate the optimal exercise frequency, intensity, duration, and progression, as appropriate. Confirmation of 'training' effect via muscle fiber or mitochondrial function analysis.
- Determine effects on systemic mechanisms (metabolic and sex hormones, inflammation, immunity, and products of oxidation) in conjunction with examination of intratumoral/tumor microenvironmental molecular mechanisms (e.g., cell signaling pathways, angiogenesis, metabolism, migration).

Potential translational (cross-cutting/transdisciplinary) studies

- Elucidation of the optimal dose of exercise to inhibit tumor progression/metastasis in mouse models of solid tumors to guide the dose of exercise to be tested in phase II randomized trials.
- Elucidation of the effects of exercise on both circulating and intratumoral mechanisms associated with tumor growth in mouse models to guide systemic (plasma) biomarker testing in completed and ongoing clinical exercise trials in cancer patients. For further mechanistic investigations, plasma/serum from patients exposed to exercise vs. control conditions can be applied to human cancer cells in vitro to investigate effects on markers of the neoplastic phenotype.
- In epidemiological studies, identify genes or histological sub-types that may mediate the association between exercise and prognosis. Next, in preclinical
  studies, confirm mechanism of action by examining the effects of exercise in clinically relevant mouse models where the identified gene/pathway/histological sub-type is over-expressed or ablated. For clinical translational, plasma/serum from patients (with the identified histological sub-type or over
  expression of a specific pathway) exposed to exercise vs. control conditions can be applied to human cancer cells in vitro for further mechanistic studies.

Brain, Behaviour and Immunity, 2013

Comparative effectiveness of exercise and drug interventions on mortality outcomes: metaepidemiological study BMJ, 2013

Although limited in quantity, existing randomised trial evidence on exercise interventions suggests that exercise and many drug interventions are often potentially similar in terms of their mortality benefits in the secondary prevention of coronary heart disease, rehabilitation after stroke, treatment of heart failure, and prevention of diabetes

# "Marginal gains theory"

"the principle of multiple, seemingly miniscule, improvements throughout any given process, collectively achieving a far superior output"

• Identifying every single small step

• Bundle of evidence-based elements